INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Goa regional office

Mine file No : KNT/BJP/LST-88/GOA Mine code : 38KAR26069 (i) Name of the Inspecting : KQ3) G. S. KANNAN Officer and ID No. (ii) Designation : Junior Mining Geologist (iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. L.S.Hiremath, Lessee Official with Designation (iv) Date of Inspection : 30/07/2019 Prev.inspection date : 16/10/2018 (v) PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION Mine Name : CHIKKALAGUNDI (2574) 1. (a) : IBM/5355/2011 (b) Registration NO. Category : B Manual (C) Type of Working (d) : Opencast (e) Postal address State : KARNATAKA : BAGALKOT District Village : CHIKKALGUNDI : BILAGI Taluka : CHIKKALGUNDI Post office Pin Code : FAX No. : E-mail : bm2574@gmail.com Phone : 9972090609 (f) Police Station : Biligi : 05/03/2008 First opening date (g) Weekly day of rest (h) : FRI : SHRI L.S.HIREMATH 2. Address for correspondance GANIGARA GALLI AT & PO-LOKAPUR-587122, TQ-MUDHOL, DIST-BAGALKOT 3. (a) Lease Number : KAR1583 Lease area : 4 (b) Period of lease : 20 (C) (d) Date of Expiry : 03/02/2028

: LIMESTONE

Main

Mineral worked

4.

| 5. | Name and Address of | the | | |
|----|---|-----|--|--------------------------|
| | Lessee | : | LINGANAND S HIREMATH | |
| | | | GANIGARA GALLI LOKAPUR TQ- | |
| | | | MUDHOL BAGALKOT KARNATAKA | |
| | | | Phone:9448225799 | |
| | | | FAX : | |
| | | | | |
| | Owner | : | LINGANAND S HIREMATH | |
| | | | GANIGARA GALLI AT & PO- | |
| | | | LOKAPUR TQ-MUDHOL BAGALKOT | |
| | | | KARNATAKA | |
| | | | Phone: | |
| | | | FAX : | |
| 6. | Date of approval of Plan/Scheme of Minim | | ng : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 29/01/2007 10/04/2012 |

MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 06/02/2017

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 3 Core Borehole Proposed in Previous Scheme period. | 4 DDH has drilled with 120m during 2016-2017 | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Geological axis – 1 | Geological axis – 1 | <pre>4 - Core boreholes were drilled in the lease area for Geological axis - 1, during Previous scheme period. Entire lease area 1.62 Hectares has explored under G- 1.</pre> |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No Proposal | Nil | 4 DDH with 120 meters has been drilled upto 2016- 2017. Total expenditure towards exploration is 1.5 Lakhs |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No Proposal | Nil | Exploration was carried out at 100m X 100m grid interval. Entire area has been explored and under G-1. |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | As on 01.04.2019 Proved Mineral Reserves (111) = 396495 tons Pre- Feasibility Mineral Resources (221) = 590612 Tons. | As on 01.04.2019 Proved Mineral Reserves (111) = 412945 tons Pre-Feasibility Mineral Resources (221) = 590612 Tons. | 2 |

| 1f | General remarks | It is part of | The deposit is litho | Geologically the |
|----|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | of inspecting | Kaladgi basin | unit of Lokapur sub | top most area is |
| | officers on | which belongs | group of Bagalkot group | more disturbed by |
| | geology, | to Upper | which belongs to Kaladgi | Murram with |
| | exploration etc | Cuddapag | Super group | Limestone |
| | | system | | |
| | | | | |

```
Development :
```

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | During 2018- 2019, there was proposal to work in between Section A-A' to C-C' | Development is at the proposed area | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Yes, proposed separate benches in OB & Mineral. Topsoil bench is 0.50m height and Limestone Bench is 3m height | Working has been carried out as per the proposal. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1 : 0.10 | 1 : 0.10 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No topsoil has been Proposed | Nil, there is no topsoil generation | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | OB waste is only Intercalated waste. During 2018-2019 it is proposed 2222 tons | 1084 tons of waste has been achieved. | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | Proposed 2222 tons of intercalated waste from 1 benches | Achieved 1084 tons of intercalated waste. | |

Exploitation:

| l.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|---|---|--|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Development and production was proposed in Pit-1. | Development and production are carried out in single pit. | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | The total target of ROM Production was 20000 tons during 2018- 2019. | 10840 tons of Limestone | |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 90% | 90% | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | There is no Mineral Reject generation | There is no Mineral Reject generation | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Mineral reject- No Proposal. Threshold Value for Mineral rejects is not Declared | Mineral reject- No Proposal. Threshold Value for Mineral rejects is not Declared | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No Sub Grade Generation | No Sub Grade Generation | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | There is No Generation of Subgrade Mineral | There is No Generation of Subgrade Mineral | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | Manual | |
| 31 | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No Beneficiation Study is Proposed. | Only Manual Picking of Ore from Intercalated Waste | |

3j Provision of Spacing -Spacing - 0.90m drilling and 0.90m Burden - 1.0m blasting in Burden - 1.0m Depth - 1.50m mineral benches Depth - 1.50m As per proposal the It is Proposed Drilling & Blasting are to drill by done Jack Hammer and blasting by using Slurry & ANFO 3k Provision of Jack Hammer - Jack Hammer - 1 Tracker Compressor - 1 mining 1 machineries in Tippers - 2 Tracker mineral benches Compressor - 1 Water tanker - 1 Tippers - 2 Water tanker -1 31 Whether height Bench height Bench Height 3 - 4 m. of benches in of 3m was proposed in overburden and mineral suitable Mineral. for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM Total area 1.00 hect area 1.00 hect areas are Зm covered under is proposed under Pit only. excavation/pits for Pit during 2018-2019 1 : 0.10 3n Ore to OB ratio 1 : 0.10 for the pit/mine during the year. 30 Total area put Land use Land use Pattern as on in use under Pattern as on 01.04.2019. different heads 01.04.2019. Mines = 1.00 hect at the end of Mines = 1.00 Dump = 0.10 hect year hect Road = 0.01 hect Dump = 0.10 Green Belt = 0.34 hect hect Road = 0.01hect Green Belt = 0.34 hect

3p Production of 2018-2019 = 2018-2019 = 11924 tons ROM mineral 22222 tons 2017-2018 = 12710 tons ROM mineral 22222 tons 2017 - 2018 = 12710 tons during the last 2017-2018 = 2016-2017 = 6667 tons five year period 22222 tons 2015-2016 = 10610 tons as applicable 2016-2017 = 2014-2015 = 9935 tons 22222 tons 2015-2016 = 22222 tons 2014-2015 = 22222 tons 3q General remarks Manual Mining Manual Mining of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Proposed for Intercalated waste | Dumping has been done as per proposal | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | E-547514- 547577/ N-1797043- 1797076 | | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 1 | Lease area = 1 Non Lease area = Nil | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Within UPL | Within UPL | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No Proposal | Nil | |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | | Garland drainage & Terracing wall has been formed as per proposal |
|----|--|--|--|
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | wall and | Garland drainage & Terracing wall has been constructed |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | Parapet wall and Garland drainage has been proposed | Garland drainage & Terracing wall has been constructed along lease boundary |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No Proposal for Back filling | Backfilling has done on existing pit on Eastern side of lease area | Violation has pointed out under Rule 11 (1) |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No Proposal | Backfilling has done on safety barrier | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No Proposal | Backfilling has done on existing pit on Eastern side of lease area | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | The mine was not worked upto the proved depth and no backfilling was proposed | Backfilling has done on existing pit on Eastern side of lease area | Violation has pointed out under Rule 11 (1) |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|--|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | It should be on or before 1st July every year. | Not Submitted for the year 2018–2019 | Violation has pointed out under Rule 26 (2) |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Nil | Nil | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Proposed 0.02 hect in 2018- 2019 | 0.03 hect achieved in 2018-2019 | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 50 saplings for 2018–2019 | 130 saplings achieved during 2018-2019 | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | 50 nos proposed on 2018-2019 | 130 nos achieved on 2018-2019 | 520 plants has been planted inside the lease area (270 plants upto 2016-2017, 250 plants on 2017-2018 & 2018- 2019) |
| ôf | Any other method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | |
| δg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | 0.25 Lakhs | 0.35 Lakhs | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Nil | Nil | |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Nil | Nil | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| бј | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | | Nil | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | Nil | |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Nil | Nil | |
| бm | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Total 50 saplings were proposed during last year 2018- 2019. | Lessee has planted 130 saplings inside lease area and 30 outside lease area during 2018- 2019. | 520 plants has been planted inside the lease area (270 plants upto 2016-2017, 250 plants on 2017-2018 & 2018- 2019) |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 0.02 hect | 0.03 hect | |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | |

| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Bi-Annually Survey | Environment monitoring is carried out through own as well as private agency. | |
|----|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | proposed for | Cumulatively 520 nos achieved from 2013-2014 to 2018-2019 | Lessee is conducting environmental monitoring and all are within permissible limit |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | 22222 tons ROM/ 20000 tons Saleable Ore | 11924 tons ROM/ 10840 tons Saleable Ore | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Manual | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | 43% | Cao = +40 - 43% Mgo = 5 - 6% Sio2 = 7 -10% | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines • | Manually sorting and sizing proposed | Manually sorting and sizing is being done | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | Nil | Lessee is using all the ore by blending with high grade ore. As per buyers specification | |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

Nil, Topsoil generation 8a Separate removal There is no is not there for 2018 and utilization proposal for of topsoil (Rule separately 2019 remove and 32) utilised of topsoil 8b Concurrent use Nil Nil or storage of topsoil 8c Separate dumps One Dump for Dump has been formed as for overburden, OB per proposal waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) 8d Use of At present Backfilling has done on Violation has existing pit on Eastern pointed out under overburden, there is no waste rock, proposal for side of lease area Rule 11 (1) rejects and restoring. fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use 8e Phased No Proposal Nil restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) 8f Baseline 50 saplings Lessee has planted 130 Cumulatively 520 information on per year plants during 2018-2019 plants has been inside the lease area existence of planted plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) Survival rate 80% 60% 8g 8h Water sprinkling Yes, it is Daily Water tanker is on roads to proposed for spraying water on mines control airborne water roads side to control dust sprinkling on airborne dust. haul roads.

8i General remarks Scanty Surrounding area beyond of inspecting vegetation, lease area is greenery officer on bushes, neem aesthetic beauty are observed in and around in lease area mines area

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | MR Submitted up to = June 2019 AR Submitted up to = 2018- 2019 | Both Annual & Monthly returns are submitting in online system. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer/ Mining Geologist has been not appointed | Only Mines mate is working as Permit Manager | It is advised to appoint mining engineer/ Mining Geologist. Violation has been issued under Rule 55(1)(3)(iv) |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | - | Landuse Pattern is filled as per approved Mining Plan | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 50 Plants has been proposed | Lessee has planted 130 saplings inside lease area and 30 outside lease area during 2018- 2019. With Survival Rate of 60% | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | There is no Mineral Rejects Generation | There is no Mineral Rejects Generation | |
| 9£ | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | been stocked | Mine has produced 10840 Tons of Production during 2018-2019 | |

| 9g | | Production & Ex. Mine Price | Some invoice has been checked and matching |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Fixed Assets is filled |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Machineries details are nil | Manual Mining no mining machineries are used. |

| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Rule N | 10. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance or |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 06/08/2019 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 26(2) | 06/08/2019 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 35(2) | 06/08/2019 | | | |

Date :

(G. S. KANNAN)

Indian Bureau of Mines